

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/718,270		MUKUND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PHILIP J. CHEA		2453	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) PHILIP J. CHEA.

(2) Jose Nunez.

**Date of Interview:** 3/25/09

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated that if language was added to the independent claims regarding alignment circuitry for aligning the lowest significant bit of an operand for an arithmetic logic unit the claims would be in condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)